

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER
AD834634
NEW LIMITATION CHANGE
TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited
FROM Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Administrative/Operational Use; JAN 1968. Other requests shall be referred to Department of the Army, Fort Detrick, Attn: Technical Releases Branch, Frederick, MD 21701.
AUTHORITY
Fort Detrick/SMUFD ltr dtd 15 Feb 1972

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AD834634

TRANSLATION NO. 1898

DATE: 5 JANUARY 1968

DDC
JUN 26 1968
RECEIVED
E

DDC AVAILABILITY NOTICE

Reproduction of this publication in whole or in part is prohibited. However, DDC is authorized to reproduce the publication for United States Government purposes.

STATEMENT #2 UNCLASSIFIED

This document is subject to approval controls and each reproduction may be made only with prior approval of *attn: Tech Release Br*

↓
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
Fort Detrick
Frederick, Maryland

21701

SPOROTRICHOSIS LESIONS FOLLOWING SUDDENLY
INTRADERMAL REACTIONS

Bulletin de la Societe francaise
de Dermatologie and Syphiligraphie
(Bulletin of the French Society
for Dermatology and Syphilology)
35: 440-441, 1928

L. M. Pantrier

At the last meeting, Jeanselme, Huet, Horowitz and Langeron reported on four cases in which at the site of the injection there appeared chancreous infections in the form of exudates and ulcerations of sporotrichosis as a consequence of intradermal reactions.

In 1909 I observed analogous complications and I should like to confirm and add to the information presented to you already by my dear friend Fernet. During that summer, I had the honor of replacing my teacher Brocq and I worked with Mantoux on a research project in which we tried to determine the minimum dose of tuberculin capable of producing an intradermal reaction in syphilitics. These experiments required the highest precision and we used only new and carefully sterilized syringes and needles. Among some 15 patients, injected in the arm or forearm, five developed at the site of the injection typical ulcerations of sporotrichosis, rapidly confirmed by the cultivation of the fungus.

Only one explanation was possible: in the preceding months, over a period of several weeks, Lutembacher and I had studied the first intradermal reactions of sporotrichosis and we had macerated cultures of Sporotrichum in an agate mortar. Obviously, the entire laboratory was contaminated with the fungus. I suspect a similar explanation applies to the case described by Jeanselme. It is certain that a laboratory in which Sporotrichum has been handled without any ill-effects can be contaminated with the parasite.

I am surprised at the actual relative rarity of sporotrichosis when compared with the frequency with which it is observed once one begins to study it. For example, at Strasbourg, where I have given an annual lecture on mycoses and where I have waited impatiently to show the first case to my students, I have not seen a single case of sporotrichosis in nine years. I am asking myself whether over the years the manipulation of the mold by us did not contribute to a few cases of that mycosis.